IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

TEXARKANA DIVISION

DAVID LEON MUNSINGER §

VS.

§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:12cv121

DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID §

MEMORANDUM OPINION REGARDING TRANSFER

Petitioner David Leon Munsinger, an inmate confined at the Telford Unit of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, proceeding *pro se*, brings this petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

The above-styled action was referred to the undersigned magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to the United States Magistrate Judge for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

Discussion

On November 6, 2010, in the Third Judicial District Court for Anderson County, Texas, petitioner was convicted of aggravated sexual assault, aggravated assault with a deadly weapon, and aggravated kidnapping. Petitioner was sentenced to concurrent terms of fifty years' imprisonment. Petitioner brings this petition contesting his convictions on numerous grounds, asserting he was denied effective assistance of counsel and was denied due process.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), a petitioner may bring his petition for writ of habeas corpus in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the

district within which he was convicted. Section 2241(d) further provides that the district court in

the exercise of its discretion may transfer the action to the other district in the furtherance of justice.

Petitioner was convicted and sentenced in Anderson County which is located in the Tyler

Division of the Eastern District of Texas. Thus, jurisdiction is proper in the Eastern District of

Texas. However, the petition has been inadvertently filed in the Texarkana Division where petitioner

is confined, instead of the Tyler Division.

While jurisdiction is proper in the Eastern District of Texas, the court has considered the

circumstances and has determined that the interest of justice would best be served if this petition

were transferred to the division in which the petitioner was convicted.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interest

of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it could

have been brought. Such a transfer may be done sua sponte and is reviewable only for an abuse of

discretion. Mills v. Beech Aircraft Corp., 886 F.2d 758, 761 (5th Cir. 1989).

Since petitioner complains of a conviction which occurred in the Tyler Division, all records

and witnesses involving this action most likely will be located in the Tyler Division. Thus, the

transfer of this action to such division would further justice. Therefore, it is the opinion of the

undersigned that this petition should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern

District of Texas, Tyler Division. An Order of Transfer so providing shall be entered in accordance

with this Memorandum Opinion.

SIGNED this 18th day of December, 2012.

CAROLINE M. CRAVEN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE